Holographic Quantum Entanglement Negativity

Pankaj Chaturvedi,* Vinay Malvimat,† and Gautam Sengupta‡
Department of Physics,
Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur,
Kanpur 208016, INDIA

We propose a holographic prescription to compute the entanglement negativity for conformal field theories at finite temperatures. We show that our prescription exactly reproduces the entanglement negativity for (1+1)- dimensional conformal field theories at finite temperatures dual to (2+1)-dimensional bulk Euclidean BTZ black holes. We observe that the holographic entanglement negativity captures the distillable pure quantum entanglement and is related to the holographic mutual information. The application of our prescription to higher dimensional conformal field theories at finite temperatures within a AdS_{d+1}/CFT_d scenario involving dual bulk AdS-Schwarzschild black holes is discussed to elucidate the universality of our conjecture.

arXiv:1609.06609v2 [hep-th] 22 Sep 2016

Entanglement typically refers to the existence of both classical and quantum correlations for a composite extended quantum system at finite temperature. For a generic conformal field theory (CFT) describing a bipartite quantum system in (1+1)- dimensions the entanglement entropy may be computed through the replica method as described in [1]. Recently in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence there has been a surge of interest in studying the entanglement entropy of boundary conformal field theories at zero as well as finite temperatures which are dual to distinct bulk AdS gravitational configurations, in a holographic framework given by Ryu and Takayanagi [2, 3]. Their conjecture states that the entanglement entropy S_A for a region A (enclosed by the boundary ∂A) in the (d)-dimensional boundary quantum field theory is proportional to the area of the extremal surface (a co-dimension two surface area denoted by γ_A) extending into the $(d+1)$-dimensional bulk that is anchored on the boundary ∂A of the region A on the boundary of the AdS space time and is given as

$$S_A = \frac{Area(\gamma_A)}{(4G_N^{(d+1)})}, \tag{1}$$

where, $G_N^{(d+1)}$ is the gravitational constant of the bulk space-time.

Using this holographic prescription it was possible to obtain the entanglement entropy in the large central charge limit for strongly coupled boundary CFTs (for dimension $d \geq 2$) at both zero and finite temperatures which are dual to different bulk AdS configurations [4–10]. However, the holographic entanglement entropy for such boundary CFTs at finite temperatures usually involves a mixing of both classical (thermal) and quantum correlations of the system [11, 12]. Naturally this renders the usual entanglement entropy unsuitable as a measure to identify the pure distillable quantum entanglement of the system at a finite temperature due to the thermal contributions. The idea of obtaining the pure distillable quantum entanglement for an extended quantum system in a mixed state through the removal of the contribution from the classical correlations is a well known problem in Quantum Information Theory (See [9] for references and reviews). Recently, in a classic work Vidal and Werner [13] have introduced a computable measure for such a mixed-state entanglement in bipartite quantum system known as the "*entanglement negativity*" (logarithmic negativity). This measure involves a partial transpose of the full density matrix over one of the subsystems (say A_2) in a bipartite quantum system $(A_1 \cup A_2)$ [14]. Remarkably, the entanglement negativity provides a valid measure for computing the pure distillable quantum entanglement for an extended quantum system in a mixed state. Some of the salient features of entanglement negativity are that it is a basis independent measure and should be a monotonically decreasing function of the temperature. The monotonicity and non convexity of the entanglement negativity was later demonstrated in an important communication by [15]. Furthermore, In [16–18] authors Calabrese et. al have provided a systematic procedure for computing the entanglement negativity in quantum field theories and many-body systems. Following their prescription, the same authors in [18] were able to show that the *entanglement negativity* leads to the pure distillable quantum entanglement even at finite temperatures (See [17] for other applications of entanglement negativity).

The above discussion naturally indicates towards the plausibility of a corresponding holographic prescription for computing the entanglement negativity of boundary conformal field theories at finite temperatures in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence. In the recent past several attempts has been made to understand this critical issue which has led to interesting insights. In this context, the authors in [19] have computed the entanglement negativity of zero temperature conformal field theories dual to the bulk pure AdS vacuum space time in various dimensions. Moreover, in the article [20] the authors have conjectured a holographic c-function which is identified with the entanglement negativity of the dual conformal field theory at a finite temperature. Although these attempts provide a deep insight in to the holo-

graphic interpretation of entanglement negativity, it is yet to be established a general and simple holographic prescription to compute the entanglement negativity of a large class of boundary CFTs at a finite temperatures.

This article addresses the critical issue of providing an elegant general holographic prescription to compute the entanglement negativity of boundary conformal field theories at finite temperatures in arbitrary dimensions. In the context of AdS_3/CFT_2 following [18], this involves the holographic computation of the four point function of certain twist/anti-twist operators in the boundary conformal field theory. More precisely in this case, by employing the Ryu and Takayanagi conjecture [2, 3] we will show that the the entanglement negativity of the $(1+1)$-dimensional boundary conformal field theory which is related to this four point function can be expressed in terms of a certain algebraic sum of the geodesic lengths in the bulk geometry. We then use this result obtained from AdS_3/CFT_2 to conjecture a general holographic prescription for computing the entanglement negativity of boundary conformal field theories in a generic AdS_{d+1}/CFT_d setup. Particularly, in the AdS_3/CFT_2 setup our holographic prescription for the entanglement negativity captures the distillable pure quantum entanglement for the $(1+1)$-dimensional boundary CFT at zero or finite temperature and matches exactly with the results of [18]. More importantly, It is also observed that the entanglement negativity computed from the bulk is related to the *holographic mutual information* as we will elucidate later. This naturally indicates towards the universality of our proposed holographic conjecture for computing the entanglement negativity for finite temperature conformal field theories in arbitrary dimensions.

Entanglement negativity in CFT_{1+1}- Entanglement negativity may be defined as the measure which distills out pure quantum correlations of an extended quantum system in mixed state as shown by Calabrese et al. [18] for the case of $(1+1)$- dimensional CFTs at finite temperatures. In order to define entanglement negativity [13] first let us consider an extended one dimensional quantum system which is divided in to three parts A_1,A_2 and B such that A_1 and A_2 correspond to finite intervals $[u_1, v_1]$ and $[u_2, v_2]$ of lengths l_1 and l_2 respectively whereas, B represents the rest of the system. We consider ρ_A as the reduced density matrix of system $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ such that $\rho_A = \rho_{A_1 \cup A_2}$ and ρ_A is obtained by tracing out the full density matrix ρ over the part B, i.e. $\rho_A = Tr_B \rho$. Moreover, if $|q_i^1\rangle$ and $|q_i^2\rangle$ represent the bases of Hilbert space corresponding to A_1 and A_2 respectively then the partial transpose with respect to A_2 degrees of freedom can be defined as

$$\langle q_i^1 q_j^2 | \rho_A^{T_2} | q_k^1 q_l^2 \rangle = \langle q_i^1 q_l^2 | \rho_A | q_k^1 q_j^2 \rangle, \tag{2}$$

Using the above relation the entanglement negativity can be defined as

$$\mathcal{E} = \lim_{n \to 1} \ln(Tr[(\rho_A^{T_2})^n]). \tag{3}$$

It is to be noted that the entanglement negativity which is related to $Tr(\rho_A^{T_2})^n$ shows different functional dependence on the eigenvalues of $\rho_A^{T_2}$ based on parity of n. However, one gets a sensible result for $Tr(\rho_A^{T_2})^n$ only if, $n = n_e$(even) as suggested in [18]. Thus from now on we will work only with even values (n_e) of n. In the (1+1)-dimensional CFT taking transpose $(\rho_A^{T_2})$ of the reduced density matrix ρ_A has the effect of exchanging upper and lower edges of the branch cut along the interval A_2 on an n_e-sheeted Riemann surface such that $Tr(\rho_A^{T_2})^n$ can be written in terms of four twist/anti-twist fields as

$$Tr(\rho_A^{T_2})^{n_e} = \langle \mathcal{T}_{n_e}(u_1) \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{n_e}(v_1) \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{n_e}(u_2) \mathcal{T}_{n_e}(v_2) \rangle. \tag{4}$$

In [18] Calabrese et. al have given a systematic method to calculate the entanglement negativity in (1+1)-dimensional conformal field theories which involves computation of $Tr(\rho_A^{T_2})^{n_e}$ based on a replica trick. This method incorporates the fact that one has to go from a tripartite system (A_1, A_2, B) to a bipartite configuration $(A, B, \emptyset)$ by first making the identification $u_2 \to v_1$ and $v_2 \to u_1$ in eq.(4) such that, the interval corresponding to the subsystem A is now given by $[u, v] = \cup_{i=1}^{2} [u_i, v_i]$ with $[u - v] = [-l, 0]$. With this identification the correct form of entanglement negativity of the subsystem A is now given in terms of the four point function of twist/anti-twist fields as

$$\mathcal{E} = \lim_{L \to \infty} \lim_{n_e \to 1} \ln \left[\langle \mathcal{T}_{n_e}(-L) \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{n_e}^2(-l) \mathcal{T}_{n_e}^2(0) \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{n_e}(L) \rangle \right] \tag{5}$$

where, $\rho = \rho_{A \cup B}$ and $\mathcal{T}_n(L)$ corresponds to the twist field operator for the interval B sitting at some large distance L from the interval A. The scaling dimension $(\Delta_{n_e}^{(2)})$ of the operator $\mathcal{T}_{n_e}^2$ can be related to the scaling dimensions (Δ_{n_e}) of the operator $\mathcal{T}_{n_e}$ as

$$\Delta_{n_e}^{(2)} = \frac{c}{6} \left(\frac{n_e}{2} - \frac{2}{n_e} \right), \quad \Delta_{n_e} = \frac{c}{12} \left(n_e - \frac{1}{n_e} \right). \tag{6}$$

It is to be noted that in order to get correct result from eq.(5), the limit $(L \to \infty)$ should be applied only after taking the replica limit $(n_e \to 1)$. In (1+1)-dimensional CFT computing the four point function given in the expression eq.(5) of negativity on the 2-dimensional complex plane one arrives at the result

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{c}{2} \ln \left(\frac{l}{a} \right) + constant. \tag{7}$$

From the above expression one may observe that at zero temperature the entanglement negativity is equal to the Rényi entropy of order-1/2 which is well known result for bipartite systems [18]. However, at finite temperatures one has to evaluate the four point function

in eq.(5) on an infinitely long cylinder of circumference $\beta = 1/T$. This cylindrical geometry can be obtained from the complex plane by the conformal transformation ($z \to \omega = \beta/2\pi \ln z$) such that z denotes the coordinates on the complex plane and ω denotes the coordinates on the cylinder. Under this transformation the four point function in eq.(4) can be written in a generic form as

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle\ \mathcal{T}_{n_e}(z_1)\overline{\mathcal{T}}^2_{n_e}(z_2)\mathcal{T}^2_{n_e}(z_3)\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{n_e}(z_4)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\\ &= \frac{c_{n_e}c^2_{n_e/2}}{z_{14}^{2\Delta_{n_e}}z_{23}^{2\Delta^{(2)}_{n_e}}}\frac{\mathcal{F}_{n_e}(x)}{x^{\Delta^{(2)}_{n_e}}}, \qquad x \equiv \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{13}z_{24}} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where, c_{n_e} and $c^2_{n_e/2}$ are some arbitrary constants which may be set to unity by considering an appropriate normalization of the two point funtion of the twist fields. The z_i's are arbitrary complex numbers and $z_{ij} = |z_i - z_j|$ with $\langle . \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ standing for expectation value on the cylinder. The cross ratio x of the four points has two limits $x \to 0$ (for $z_2 \to z_1$, $z_4 \to z_3$) and $x \to 1$ (for $z_3 \to z_2$, $z_4 \to z_1$) which correspond to high and low temperature limits respectively. Moreover, following [18] one can obtain the constraints on the arbitrary function $\mathcal{F}_{n_e}(x)$ in the two limits $x \to 1$ and $x \to 0$ as follows

$$\mathcal{F}_{n_e}(1) = 1, \quad \mathcal{F}_{n_e}(0) = C_{n_e}, \tag{9}$$

here, C_{n_e} is an arbitrary constant. Replacing z_i's by $(e^{-2\pi L/\beta}, e^{-2\pi l/\beta}, 1, e^{2\pi L/\beta})$ in the eq.(8) the finite temperature result [18] for the entanglement negativity in the (1+1)-dimensional CFT may be written down as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} &= \frac{c}{2}\ln\left[\frac{\beta}{\pi a}\sinh\left(\frac{\pi l}{\beta}\right)\right] - \frac{\pi c l}{2\beta}\\ &\quad + f(e^{-2\pi l/\beta}) + \ln(c^2_{1/2}c_1). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$f(x) = \lim_{n_e \to 1}\ln[\mathcal{F}_{n_e}(x)], \qquad \lim_{L\to\infty} x = e^{-2\pi l/\beta} \tag{11}$$

Here, it is to be noted that entanglement negativity is a monotone for system going from pure to mixed state and thus decreases with the temperature as shown in [15]. Thus it may be said that the maximum value of the entanglement negativity should correspond to the zero temperature result given in the eq.(7). This implies that the value of entanglement negativity at any finite temperature must be smaller than its zero temperature value which motivates us to write the following inequality using equations (7) and (10)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{c}{2}\ln\left(\frac{l}{a}\right) &> \frac{c}{2}\ln\left[\frac{\beta}{\pi a}\sinh\left(\frac{\pi l}{\beta}\right)\right] - \frac{\pi c l}{2\beta} + f(x)\\ f(x) &< \frac{\pi c l}{2\beta} - \frac{c}{2}\ln\left[\frac{\beta}{\pi l}\sinh\left(\frac{\pi l}{\beta}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Furthermore, the positivity of the entanglement negativity at finite temperatures puts a lower bound on the arbitrary function $f(x)$ as follows

$$f(x) > \frac{\pi c l}{2\beta} - \frac{c}{2}\ln\left[\frac{\beta}{\pi a}\sinh\left(\frac{\pi l}{\beta}\right)\right]. \tag{13}$$

The inequalities in eq.(12) and eq.(13) clearly suggests that the unknown function $f(x)$ is bounded and small in the large central charge limit. Thus from the expression in eq.(10) it may be said that the dominant contribution to the entanglement negativity in the large central charge limit comes from the first two terms whereas, the rest of the terms provide only the subleading contributions. However, the detailed mathematical argument for the above observation requires the full computation of the four point function in terms of the conformal blocks. In [21] Zamolodchikov argued that in a 2d CFT, a four point function of primary operators in the large central charge limit receives an universal contribution which varies exponentially with the central charge as follows

$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}_1(z_1)\mathcal{O}_2(z_2)\mathcal{O}_3(z_3)\mathcal{O}_4(z_4)\right\rangle \approx e^{-c\ g\left(\frac{\Delta_i}{c}, z_i\right)} \tag{14}$$

where, g is an unknown function to be determined by the solution of a certain monodromy problem [22] and Δ_i represents the scaling dimension of the primary operator $\mathcal{O}_i$. Such computations involving a generic four point function which can be related to either entanglement entropy (in the case of two disjoint intervals) or negativity have already been studied for the case of 2d-CFTs. Specifically in [22–24], the authors have obtained a similar exponential dependence of the four point functions of twist fields on the central charge, which forms a universal result in the semiclassical limit (large central charge). Thus in the large central charge limit the dominant contribution to the entanglement negativity in eq.(10) may be written down as follows

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{c}{2}\ln\left[\frac{\beta}{\pi a}\sinh\left(\frac{\pi l}{\beta}\right)\right] - \frac{\pi c l}{2\beta} = S^{ent}_A - S^{th}_A. \tag{15}$$

From the above expression it is straightforward to see that the entanglement negativity is a monotonically decreasing function of the temperature and for $T = 0$ (zero temperature), one obtains the corresponding zero temperature result given in eq.(7) for a (1+1)- dimensional CFT. Moreover, the second term in the eq.(15) corresponds to the thermal entropy (S^{th}_A) of the subsystem A in the (1+1)-dimensional CFT and can be related to classical correlations. Remarkably, this clear separation of thermal entropy from the total entanglement entropy (S^{ent}_A) of the subsytem A suggests that the entanglement negativity forms the correct measure for pure quantum entanglement even at finite temperatures.

Holographic entanglement negativity In order to formulate a holographic prescription for computing the finite temperature entanglement negativity in AdS_3/CFT_2 setup we begin by first considering the (1+1)-dimensional boundary of AdS_{2+1} space-time to be partitioned into the subsystem A and its complement $A^c = B_1 \cup B_2$ as shown in the fig.(1). The two point function of twist operators ($\mathcal{T}_{n_e}, \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{n_e}$) can be defined for



FIG. 1. Schematic of geodesics anchored on the subsystems A, B_1 and B_2 which live on the (1+1)-dimensional boundary.

the (1+1)-dimensional boundary CFT as follows[25]

$$\left\langle \mathcal{T}_{n_e}(z_i)\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{n_e}(z_j)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \frac{c_{n_e}}{z_{ij}^{\Delta_{n_e}}} \tag{16}$$

From the AdS/CFT dictionary it is known that the two point function on the boundary CFT_{1+1} can be related to the length of the geodesic (L_{ij}) anchored on the points (z_i, z_j) and extending in to the bulk AdS_{2+1} spacetime as follows

$$\left\langle \mathcal{T}_{n_e}(z_i)\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{n_e}(z_j)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \sim e^{-\frac{\Delta_{n_e}\mathcal{L}_{ij}}{R}}, \tag{17}$$

where, R is the AdS radius of the bulk AdS_{2+1} spacetime. From fig.(1) one can identify that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{L}_{12} = \mathcal{L}_{B_1}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{23} = \mathcal{L}_{A}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{34} = \mathcal{L}_{B_2},\\ &\mathcal{L}_{13} = \mathcal{L}_{A\cup B_1}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{24} = \mathcal{L}_{A\cup B_2}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{14} = \mathcal{L}_{A\cup B}.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Using the relations in (17) and (18) one can write the four point function of the twist fields given by eq.(8) in a suggestive form as

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle\ \mathcal{T}_{n_e}(z_1)\overline{\mathcal{T}}^2_{n_e}(z_2)\mathcal{T}^2_{n_e}(z_3)\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{n_e}(z_4)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\\ &= \alpha_{n_e}\frac{\mathcal{F}_{n_e}(x)}{x^{\Delta^{(2)}_{n_e}}}e^{-(\Delta_{n_e}\mathcal{L}_{A\cup B}+\Delta^{(2)}_{n_e}\mathcal{L}_A)/R},\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$x = e^{(\mathcal{L}_{B_1}+\mathcal{L}_{B_2}-\mathcal{L}_{A\cup B_1}-\mathcal{L}_{A\cup B_2})/2R}, \tag{20}$$

here, x is the cross ratio and α_{n_e} is some constant which we set to unity in later computations. In the replica limit $n_e \to 1$, $\Delta_{n_e} \to 0$ and $\Delta^{(2)}_{n_e} \to -\frac{c}{4}$ with the central charge 'c' of CFT_{1+1} being related to the AdS length R through the Brown-Hennaux formula $c = \frac{3R}{2G^3_N}$ [26]. Thus from the equations (5) and (19) the finite temperature entanglement negativity for CFT_{1+1} may be written down as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} = &\lim_{L\to\infty}\left[\frac{3}{16G^3_N}(2\mathcal{L}_A + \mathcal{L}_{B_1} + \mathcal{L}_{B_2} - \mathcal{L}_{A\cup B_1} - \mathcal{L}_{A\cup B_2})\right]\\ &+ \lim_{L\to\infty} f(x), \qquad f(x) = \lim_{n_e\to 1}\ln[\mathcal{F}_{n_e}(x)]\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

As discusused earlier in the large central charge limit the subleading contribution involving the function $f(x)$ in the above expression for the entanglement negativity may be ignored [27]. Note that the large central charge corresponds to the Large N limit of the boundary field theory [28, 29]. Thus in the AdS_3/CFT_2 scenario being discussed here, we may express eq.(21) in terms of the holographic mutual information between the pair of intervals (A, B_1) and (A, B_2) as follows

$$\mathcal{E} = \lim_{L\to\infty}\left[\frac{3}{4}\big(\mathcal{I}(A, B_1) + \mathcal{I}(A, B_2)\big)\right], \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(A, B_i) &= S_A + S_{B_i} - S_{A\cup B_i},\\ &= \frac{(\mathcal{L}_A + \mathcal{L}_{B_i} - \mathcal{L}_{A\cup B_i})}{4G^3_N}, \ i = \{1, 2\},\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Using the expression (22) one can now compute the finite temperature entanglement negativity of the boundary $(1 + 1)$-dimensional CFT purely in terms of bulk quantities by considering the points $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (e^{-2\pi L/\beta}, e^{-\pi l/\beta}, e^{-\pi l/\beta}, e^{2\pi L/\beta})$ as shown in the fig.(1). With the help of eq.(22), we will show in the later section that one can reproduce exactly both the finite and zero temperature results for the entanglement negativity in the large central charge limit for a (1+1)-dimensional CFT which are described in the previous section.

Entanglement Negativity in AdS_3/CFT_2- According to AdS/CFT correspondence, a (1+1)-dimensional boundary field theory at a finite temperature $(T = \beta^{-1})$ is dual to a bulk Euclidean BTZ black hole. The metric of which is given by

$$ds^2 = (r^2 - r_h^2)d\tau_E^2 + \frac{R^2}{(r^2 - r_h^2)}dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2, \tag{24}$$

here, τ_E is the compactified Euclidean time $(\tau_E \sim \tau_E + \frac{2\pi R}{r_h})$ and ϕ is identified with $(\phi + 2\pi)$. Under the coordinate transformation $r = r_+\cosh\rho, \tau_E = \frac{R}{r_+}\theta$, $\phi = \frac{R}{r_+}t$ the metric in (24) becomes

$$ds^2 = R^2(d\rho^2 + \cosh^2\rho dt^2 + \sinh^2\rho d\theta^2). \tag{25}$$

The lengths of the geodesics anchored to the boundary in these Euclidean Poincare co-ordinates are well known [10]. We denote the length of the geodesic to be $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ which may be given as

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma = 2R\ln\left[\frac{\beta}{\pi a}\sinh[\frac{\pi l_\gamma}{\beta}]\right], \tag{26}$$

here, a is the UV cut-offof the boundary field theory and R is the AdS_3 length scale. The parametrs l_γ represent the distance between the points on the boundary separating the subsystem (γ) from the rest of the system. In the AdS_3/CFT_2 setup as shown in fig.(1) the geodesic length $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ given by eq.(26) can be identified for $\gamma = \{A, B_1, B_2, A\cup B_1, A\cup B_2\}$. Using the expression of the geodesic legth given by eq.(26) in eq.(22)

the holographic entanglement negativity for the (1+1)-dimensional boundary field theory may be obtained as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} &= \frac{3R}{4G_N^3}\left(\ln\left[\frac{\beta}{\pi a}\sinh[\frac{\pi\ell}{\beta}]\right]-\frac{\pi\ell}{2\beta}\right),\\ &= S_A^{ent}-S_A^{th}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

This matches exactly with the finite temperature result given by eq.(15) for the entanglement negativity of a (1+1)-dimensional CFT obatined by the authors Calabrese et al. in [18] with the central charge c being given by the Brown-Hennaux formula $c=\frac{3R}{2G_N^3}$ [26]. The expression in eq.(27) clearly suggest that the holographic entanglement negativity only captures the pure quantum correlations for the (1+1)-dimensional boundary field theory at finite temperatures.

The above observations motivate us to propose that our holographic prescription of computing entanglement negativity in AdS_3/CFT_2 can be also be extended to a more generic AdS_{d+1}/CFT_d setup. The generalization of our holographic prescription can be understood in the following manner, first we consider an extended bipartite system $(A\cup B)$ in d-dimensions such that subsystem A is formed by partitioning the whole system along one spatial dimension whereas the rest of the system corresponds to the complement $B=(B_1\cup B_2)$. If $\mathcal{A}_A$, $\mathcal{A}_{B_1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{B_2}$ denote the co-dimension two static minimal surface areas in AdS_{d+1} which are anchored to the boundary of subsystems A, B_1 and B_2 then in the large central charge limit the holographic entanglement negativity for the subsystem A in the d-dimensional boundary CFT at finite temperature is given by the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} &= \lim_{B\to A^c}\left[\frac{3}{4}\big(\mathcal{I}(A,B_1)+\mathcal{I}(A,B_2)\big)\right],\\ \mathcal{I}(A,B_i) &= S_A+S_{B_i}-S_{A\cup B_i},\\ &= \frac{(\mathcal{A}_A+\mathcal{A}_{B_i}-\mathcal{A}_{A\cup B_i})}{4G_N^{d+1}},\ i=\{1,2\}, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where, G_N^{d+1} is the (d+1)-dimensional Newton constant and the limit $(B\to A^c)$ in eq.(28) corresponds to taking the end points of subsystems B_1 and B_2 to infinity along the partitioning direction such that $B=(B_1\cup B_2)$ becomes infinitely large.

Discussion- To summarize we have proposed a holographic conjecture in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence for computing the finite temperature entanglement negativity for conformal field theories in arbitrary dimensions which are dual to bulk AdS black holes. Using our conjecture we have established that the entanglement negativity captures the pure distillable quantum entanglement at finite temperatures and is related to the holographic mutual information. In the context of the AdS_3/CFT_2 our holographic prescription exactly reproduces the finite temperature entanglement negativity of the (1+ 1)- dimensional boundary conformal field theory which is dual to an Euclidean BTZ black hole. Remarkably, it is also possible to show that in higher dimensions ($d>2$) the entanglement negativity of CFTs dual to the AdS_{d+1}-Schwarzschild black holes once again captures just the distillable pure quantum entanglement and is related to the holographic mutual information which may be expressed as an algebraic sum of the area of extremal co-dimension two surfaces in the bulk geometry (The reader should refer to [30] for detailed computation of holographic entanglement negativity in AdS_{d+1}/CFT_d setup). To this end we would like to emphasize that our holographic conjecture for computing the entanglement negativity may found applications in understanding some of the difficult questions related to condensate formation, quantum phase transitions, non-equilibrium transport phenomena etc. in strongly coupled condensed matter systems such as the High T_c superconductors. Moreover, the holographic prescription for computing the entanglement entropy has played a crucial role in the investigation of the information loss paradox [31, 32]. Thus it would be interesting to explore the implications of the holographic entanglement negativity in the context of the information loss paradox and the associated black hole firewall problem.

The authors would like to thank Ashoke Sen for crucial discussions and suggestions and K S Narain for interesting discussions. We would also like to thank Sayantani Bhattacharyya and Saikat Ghosh for extremely useful discussions and crucial insights. The work of Pankaj Chaturvedi is supported by Grant No. 09/092(0846)/2012-EMR-I, from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India.

* cpankaj@iitk.ac.in
† vinaymm@iitk.ac.in
‡ sengupta@iitk.ac.in

[1] Pasquale Calabrese and John L. Cardy. Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory. *J. Stat. Mech.*, 0406:P06002, 2004.
[2] Shinsei Ryu and Tadashi Takayanagi. Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 96:181602, 2006.
[3] Shinsei Ryu and Tadashi Takayanagi. Aspects of Holographic Entanglement Entropy. *JHEP*, 08:045, 2006.
[4] Juan Martin Maldacena. The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. *Int.J.Theor.Phys.*, 38:1113–1133, 1999.
[5] S.S. Gubser, Igor R. Klebanov, and Alexander M. Polyakov. Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory. *Phys.Lett.*, B428:105–114, 1998.
[6] Edward Witten. Anti-de Sitter space and holography. *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.*, 2:253–291, 1998.
[7] Edward Witten. Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories. *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.*, 2:505–532, 1998.

[8] Tadashi Takayanagi. Entanglement Entropy from a Holographic Viewpoint. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 29:153001, 2012.

[9] Pasquale Calabrese, John Cardy, and Benjamin Doyon. Entanglement entropy in extended quantum systems. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 42(50):500301, 2009.

[10] Tatsuma Nishioka, Shinsei Ryu, and Tadashi Takayanagi. Holographic Entanglement Entropy: An Overview. *J. Phys.*, A42:504008, 2009.

[11] Willy Fischler and Sandipan Kundu. Strongly Coupled Gauge Theories: High and Low Temperature Behavior of Non-local Observables. *JHEP*, 05:098, 2013.

[12] Pankaj Chaturvedi, Vinay Malvimat, and Gautam Sengupta. Entanglement thermodynamics for charged black holes. 2016.

[13] G. Vidal and R. F. Werner. Computable measure of entanglement. *Phys. Rev. A*, 65:032314, Feb 2002.

[14] In principle the computation of entanglement negativity is extremely subtle involving a procedure referred to as purification in quantum information theory. This requires obtaining a mixed state by tracing out the degrees of freedom of a larger system in a pure state which is divided in to three parts say A_1, A_2 and B such that the trace is over the subsytem B.

[15] M. B. Plenio. Logarithmic Negativity: A Full Entanglement Monotone That is not Convex. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 95(9):090503, 2005.

[16] Pasquale Calabrese, John Cardy, and Erik Tonni. Entanglement negativity in quantum field theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 109:130502, 2012.

[17] Pasquale Calabrese, John Cardy, and Erik Tonni. Entanglement negativity in extended systems: A field theoretical approach. *J. Stat. Mech.*, 1302:P02008, 2013.

[18] Pasquale Calabrese, John Cardy, and Erik Tonni. Finite temperature entanglement negativity in conformal field theory. *J. Phys.*, A48(1):015006, 2015.

[19] Mukund Rangamani and Massimiliano Rota. Comments on Entanglement Negativity in Holographic Field Theories. *JHEP*, 10:60, 2014.

[20] Shamik Banerjee and Partha Paul. Black Hole Singularity, Generalized (Holographic) c-Theorem and Entanglement Negativity. 2015.

[21] A. B. Zamolodchikov. Conformal symmetry in two-dimensional space: Recursion representation of conformal block. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 73:1088–1093, October 1987.

[22] Thomas Hartman. Entanglement Entropy at Large Central Charge. 2013.

[23] Thomas Hartman, Christoph A. Keller, and Bogdan Stoica. Universal Spectrum of 2d Conformal Field Theory in the Large c Limit. *JHEP*, 09:118, 2014.

[24] Manuela Kulaxizi, Andrei Parnachev, and Giuseppe Policastro. Conformal Blocks and Negativity at Large Central Charge. *JHEP*, 09:010, 2014.

[25] As stated earlier, one has to evaluate the two point function on an infinitely long cylinder of circumference $\beta = 1/T$ which is obtained from the 2-dimensional complex plane by the conformal transformation, $z \to \omega = \beta/2\pi \ln z$.

[26] J. D. Brown and Marc Henneaux. Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: an example from three-dimensional gravity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 104(2):207–226, 1986.

[27] The authors would like to thank Ashoke Sen for pointing this out.

[28] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis. The Holographic Weyl anomaly. *JHEP*, 07:023, 1998.

[29] Andreas Karch and Brandon Robinson. Holographic Black Hole Chemistry. *JHEP*, 12:073, 2015.

[30] Pankaj Chaturvedi, Vinay Malvimat, and Gautam Sengupta. Entanglement negativity, Holography and Black holes. 2016.

[31] Juan Martin Maldacena. Eternal black holes in anti-de Sitter. *JHEP*, 04:021, 2003.

[32] Tadashi Takayanagi and Tomonori Ugajin. Measuring Black Hole Formations by Entanglement Entropy via Coarse-Graining. *JHEP*, 11:054, 2010.